


MINUTES AUDIT GENERAL ASSEMBLY



Universalis

UCMSA UNIVERSALIS

Wingelput 4
211KH Maastricht

PHONE
31 43 38 85 492

MAIL
info@ucmuniversalis.com

WEB
www.ucm-universalis.nl

DATE:

April 16th 2014

PRESENT:

Esmee Schoutens, Leanne Heuberger, Jan Litjens, Lorenzo Andreoli, Alice Paris, Heidrun Kerl, Marijne Schijns, Meagan Jongepier Lucas Petrelli Hildebrandt, Hilde Schneeman, Lotte Razenberg, Judith van der Zwan, Cas van der Hoven, Julien Beuken, Jan Meijer, Olof van der Werf, Isabella Mulder, Sarah Sayed, Sophia Palm, Mircea van der Plas, Lukas Zielinski, Jan Steinke, Dominic Christian, Erlijn Linstens, Emilie Frijns, Azia Lafleur, Laura Hazendonk, Annika Kloos, Felicitas Maltry, Katharina Keil

SPECIAL GUEST: Menno Reijven

MISSING: Svea Windwehr, Céline Corthouts, Anna Evans, Julie Lambin, Elliot Burke Perrin, Yagmur Masmaz, Dina Amro, Sophia Jarvis, Dominic Gohla, Kristina Geisel, Krishma Labib, Rowan Field.

Esmee Schoutens opens the General Assembly

BOARD MOTIONS

MOTION ONE: POLICY MANUAL: AD HOC MOTIONS

Submitted by UCMSA Universalis Board

- The motion reads:
 - o *Ad hoc motions may be put forward by a member of Universalis during a General Assembly;*
 - o *An ad hoc motion is a motion which is not published before a General Assembly;*
 - o *When an ad hoc motion is put forward, the General Assembly shall first vote upon whether the motion shall be voted upon before the voting on the ad hoc motion may take place;*
- Cas van der Hoven: Why do we vote on an ad hoc motion? If the majority votes in favor to vote- why do we have to vote again?
 - o Esmee Schoutens: We do this so that people can think things through and have time to consider the motion.
- Mircea van der Plas: Were ad hoc motions not valid in the past?
 - o Esmee Schoutens: Yes they were; they have been implemented in the past but this has not been taken up in the policy manual.

We are not sure why. We now want to formalize the procedure.

- Jan Steinke: But the policy manual is not law?
 - o Cas van der Hoven: Yes it is law.
 - Jan Litjens: Ad hoc motions could drastically change our organization; there should be a way to prevent this.
 - o Jan Meijer: Majority wants to abolish organization, still possible to do this even without ad hoc motions. This motion will not change the risk of making radical decisions but does decrease the chance of useless motions being discussed
 - o Julien Beuken: Can change statutes. But not through ad hoc motions.
 - o Jan Litjens proposes board has the option to say the motion is quite radical
 - o Esmee Schoutens: But in that case, you can always call a GA
 - o Jan Litjens: There should be an initial threshold
 - o Jan Steinke: How about we implement a motion only after a week to make sure people have really thought about it and agree?
 - o Jan Litjens agrees
 - The motion is approved with a clear majority
-

MOTION TWO: INDEPENDENT BODY: STANDARDIZED FORM FOR MOTIONS

- The motion reads:
 - o *A standard motion format as included in Appendix A of the Independent Body Report of Fall 2013 shall be used for all proposed motions at the General Assembly;*
 - o *At the General Assembly, paper print outs of a motion template should be available;*
 - o *Ad hoc motions can only be considered if filled out on these templates and handed to the chairperson of the General Assembly if submitted;*
 - o *If approved, these ad hoc motions shall be transcribed, documented and included in the minutes of the General Assembly as all other motions;*
- Mircea van der Plas: Why do this? Isn't this just adding more bureaucracy to the process?
 - o Leanne Heuberger: No the standardized forms are there to make the entire process proceed more smoothly. We vote on the specific clause you have written using the form, and this

specific clause cannot be changed later; it will appear as it is in the policy manual/statutes. These standardized forms will make this much easier to do.

- Jan Meijer: In the past, we noticed motions were just added without context and thus were hard to interpret and implement at later stages
 - Menno Reijven: I think it is a good idea because it forces people to think about what they want to write and makes it clearer for everyone
 - The motion is approved with a clear majority
-

MOTION THREE: POLICY MANUAL: VOTING IN CASE OF ABSENCE

- The motion reads:
 - *article 3.6.7.ii. (“If an absent member wishes to vote on a particular motion, or all prior published motions, s/he can do so using an absent ballot form available on the UCMSA Universalis website or from the Board room. An absent ballot must be cast before the scheduled beginning of a General Assembly”) shall be changed into:*
 - *If an absent member wishes to vote on a particular motion, or all prior published motions, s/he can do so by providing a written proxy to a present member of Universalis to vote in his/her behalf. The written proxy must be cast before the scheduled beginning of a General Assembly;*
- Jan Litjens: Is this also applicable for ad-hoc motions?
 - Esmee: Schoutens: Yes, you can use a proxy vote on everything. You may specify whether you want your proxy to vote on an ad hoc motion
 - Cas van der Hoven: It specifies now that this is only for prior published motions; this must change.
- Heidrun Kerl: How does this system work?
 - Jan Meijer: The paper counts as one vote. But only really necessary if the voting results are not clear.
- Jan Litjens suggests proxy votes are only to be used for prior published motions
 - Katharina Keil: Cannot have a voice if you don't know what you are voting for.
- Hilde Schneemann: What if the proxy makes an ad-hoc motion against the original member's views?
 - Jan Litjens asks for clarification
 - Hilde Schneeman & Julien Beuken: Someone is sent to vote on one topic but the ad hoc could change nature of motion.

- Essentially undoes the vote of the absentee
 - Azia Lafleur: you don't know what your friend would vote.
 - Jan Meijer & Alice Paris: that is the risk of a proxy
 - Hilde Schneeman: Can you discuss the ad hocs after a General Assembly?
 - Esmee Schoutens: Yes, you can contact us and gather support and then we can call another GA
 - Erlijn Linstens: is it possible to vote on ad-hoc motions in a current GA?
 - Esmee Schoutens: Ad hoc motions are there to deal with on the spot problems
 - Erlijn Linstens: So the member should notify the proxy what motions to vote on
 - The motion is approved with a majority
-

MOTION FOUR: POLICY MANUAL: INFORMING MEMBERS OF UNIVERSALIS

- The motion reads:
 - *article 2.4 (“The UCMSA Universalis Board will assure distribution, at the minimum via email and on eleUM, of the Policy Manual to all of its new members at the moment on which they acquire their UCMSA Universalis membership to facilitate familiarity of all UCMSA Universalis members with the Policy Manual of their Association as expressed by Article 2.3”)* shall be changed into:
 - *The UCMSA Universalis Board will assure distribution, at the minimum via email and on eleUM, of the Policy Manual to all of its members during the first week of every semester to facilitate familiarity of all UCMSA Universalis members with the Policy Manual of their Association as expressed by Article 2.3;*
 - Julien Beuken: Can we print for everyone instead of simply distributing online copies?
 - Esmee Schoutens: Waste of paper, you may request a hardcopy at any one time though
 - The motion is approved by a majority
-

- Meagan Jongepier proceeds to introduce the Independent Body; explains their proceedings
 - Are there any questions about the report?
 - Announcement about the need for new members of the IB
-

INDEPENDENT BODY MOTIONS

MOTION ONE: FUNDING FOR TRANSPORTATION OF GOODS AND PERSONS

- The motion reads:
 - *whenever UCMSA Universalis is considering using paid transportation services, an overview should be made of all the options, and the least expensive yet realistic option should be taken;*
 - *in no case should taxis be used to transport goods;*
 - *whenever UCMSA Universalis reimburses fuel costs to its members, a standardized fuel reimbursement rate should be used. This rate should be in accordance with the annual guidelines of the Dutch Government (2014, €0,19 p/km)*
 - Julien Beuken: There is a lack of transparency; no guidelines, proportionality or uniformity
 - Heidrun Kerl: Not only about cheapest, time is also money. What if an option is not the cheapest but the fastest?
 - Julien Beuken: There is simply no consistency right now, we should be looking for the best option.
 - Jan Litjens: There should be reasoning behind it.
 - Heidrun Kerl: Fuel reimbursement should be more transparent and there should be a time limit (4 weeks).
 - Cas van der Hoven: This depends on general treasurer rules and is your own responsibility
 - Cas van der Hoven: Universalis cannot reimburse non-members this should be viewed as a payment. Cannot pay a Universalis member for its services. Outside of Universalis, provide receipt or invoice
 - Lorenzo Andreoli: Can we change the rate?
 - Cas van der Hoven: Because we are an association we can.
 - Sarah Sayed: How do you know how many kilometers you have driven?
 - Cas van der Hoven: Google maps
 - The motion is approved by a slight majority
-

MOTION TWO: ADMINISTRATION OF CHARITY COMMITTEE FINANCES

- The motion reads:
 - *The Charity Committee should report their income, expenses and donations at all times to the UCMSA Universalis Treasurer, who will hold record of them similarly to how other committees` income is recorded;*
 - *The Charity Committee should publish reports per event or time period*

detailing their income or donations, resulting in a publicly available overview showing the profit of the Charity Committee that will be donated to charity;

- Sarah Sayed: We are already doing this right now
 - o Julien Beuken: This is about last semester
 - o Sarah Sayed: Communication maybe wasn't clear between Leanne and I.
 - Cas van der Hoven: Need to record books for every events, just like every other committee
 - Sarah Sayed: we completely agree. How do we realize this?
 - o Olof van der Werf: For big events, you should do it immediately after, like the Love Foundation does. For events like Waffle Wednesday, every period/semester is fine.
 - Jan Litjens: You should simply make an end of semester overview and show how much money goes where
 - o Sarah Sayed: We usually do this but last semester had trouble because charities are international hard to transfer money
 - o Cas van der Hoven: there is flexibility
 - The motion is approved by a majority
-

MOTION THREE: FINANCIAL CLARITY OF EVENTS AND SECOND HAND BOOK SALE

- The motion reads:
 - o *An overview should be provided in the books that mentions the events organized by UCMSA Universalis and their corresponding forms. Ideally this overview is also provided in the digital overview;*
 - o *The UCMSA Universalis Treasurer should provide a (short) report at the end of each period detailing the sales and payments of the second hand book sale. In this report, the books sold should be mentioned, as well as the payments made to the original owners of these books. If the original owners have not been paid, a reason should be provided per person why this did not happen (e.g. said person did not reply to the Treasurer).*
 - Jan Litjens: What happens to the second hand book sale report?
 - o Julien Beuken: Would be added to the administration of the treasurer to increase the transparency of treasurer activity. Simply has to do with Internal workings of the board.
 - Azia Lafleur: How do you check that the charity committee actually donates the money, and it arrives safely?
 - o Sarah Sayed: We donate to charities that people have worked with; they usually send us reports about what they do with the money
 - Motion is approved by clear majority
-

MOTION FOUR: FINANCIAL CLARITY REGARDING BANK ACCOUNT OVERVIEW

- The motion reads:
 - o *The cash flow forms in the books should refer to their corresponding bank account transactions, if applicable;*
 - o *An exhaustive print-out of the transactions made with the bank accounts of UCMSA Universalis should be included in the tangible financial overview at the end of every semester;*
 - Motion is approved by clear majority
-

MOTION FIVE: FUNDING FOR LUNCH MEETINGS

- The motion reads:
 - o *UCMSA Universalis should not provide funding for lunch meetings;*
- Jan Litjens: What is the difference between lunch and dinner? We provide dinner to committee chairs. Should we stop doing this as well?
- Leanne Heuberger: Why plan your meeting during lunch?
 - o Lorenzo Andreoli: You are representing Universalis, they set meeting and you simply oblige.
 - o Sarah Sayed: You can also not eat.
 - o Jan Litjens: Cannot take your own sandwiches, maybe more expensive lunch than you normally eat.
 - o Esmee Schoutens: Conference with Bratislava is a good example.
- Lotte Razenberg: What kind of lunches are we talking about?
 - o Julien Beuken: Out meetings
 - o Alice Paris: Not among Universalis board members but with external individuals
- Jan Steinke: You cannot force people not to eat lunch as a representative of Universalis that would look unprofessional. Agrees with Jan Litjens. You cannot decide whether you want to eat lunch or not; you are forced to.
- Menno Reijven: Should provide a reason why it is necessary Universalis pays. Adopt the motion to “if it is necessary, Universalis should pay.”
- Jan Litjens: Can the motion be expanded to all food meetings?
 - o Julien Beuken: If you hand in an ad hoc.
- No one wants to hand in an ad hoc.
- Jan Litjens: Can we change this once it has approved? Lunch meetings is very vague
 - o Sarah Sayed: If you say Universalis should not fund food, this includes many foods, also waffle Wednesday
- Lotte Razenberg: What if person would chose most expensive dish?

- Cas van der Hoven: We can set a maximum of €10 or else have to explain to the GA why you should be reimbursed.
 - Jan Steinke: If you know where you are going to eat, hand in a budget request with the treasurer and he or she decides.
 - Cas van der Hoven: Due to the financial guidelines it doesn't make sense to allow the treasurer to decide
 - Jan Steinke: Then chose someone independent
 - Dominic Christian: This motion not necessary, we have GAs to raise and question these issues.
 - Leanne Heuberger: Can raise these questions at the realization
 - Jan Litjens: GA will always have last vote, if they vote against, the individual needs to pay back.
 - Ad hoc proposed: *UCMSA Universalis should not provide funding for food during meetings, exceptional cases can still be decided upon by the board in which a funding request must be handed in prior to this meeting.*
 - Ad hoc is approved
 - Motion is approved by a majority
-

MOTION SIX: PAYBACK DEADLINES

- The motion reads:
 - *Old points 4-5*
 - *4. If money is not paid back in time, an invoice has to be made to the person having used the money; an additional fee of 5% of the total amount has to be paid to the Association;*
 - *Change point 4 to:*
 - *4. "if the money is not paid back in time, an additional fee of 5% of the total amount has to be paid to the Association. For every full month passed after the payment deadline, an additional fee of 5% shall be added to the total amount to be paid;"*
 - *5. The file of the expense has to include the reason of necessity which explains the reasons for the use of the card to the Association`s members;*
 - *Old points 6-7*
 - *6. When this all not happens, the members of the Association need to be informed about the amount of money and by whom this has to be paid back in order to allow the Association`s members to check, and possibly complain about, the expenses which are not needed for the Association;*
 - *Change point 6 to:*
 - *6. "if the payment has not been made after the first full month after the initial payment deadline, then the members of the Association need to*

be informed about the amount of money and by whom this has to be paid back in order to allow the Association`s members to check, and possibly complain about, the expenses which are not needed for the Association;”

- *7. This has to appear in the administration books of the Association;*
- Julien Beuken: This motion is changed to discourage board members from borrowing money
- Judith van der Zwan: Why should this be happening in the first place?
 - Julien Beuken: Whenever someone has money that belongs to Universalis, need to pay it back as soon as possible.
 - Cas van der Hoven: A treasurer may pay for lunch and then everyone pays back, or at Blind City Trip the treasurer may help you out if your card for example is not working
- Lorenzo Andreoli: Is this 5% legal, can Universalis do this?
 - Julien Beuken: Yes we have these legal rights.
- Sarah Sayed: Would you send a reminder every month, saying that you are charging 5% per month? I.e. would you be informed?
 - Julien Beuken: Send reminder every month; you would be informed.
- Jan Steinke: In special circumstances, at whose discretion?
 - Cas van der Hoven: At the discretion of the treasurer.
- Cas van der Hoven: After a month, all board members should be notified.
- Julien Beuken: Take note, we simply specify more interest is added every month, the rest of the motion has already been approved
- Lotte Razenberg: Are Universalis members allowed to borrow money?
 - Esmee Schoutens: No only in emergencies.
 - Julien Beuken: It happens, we need to have everything covered.
- Jan Litjens: Shouldn't it be Universalis members not only board members?
- Jan Litjens proposes ad hoc motion to change *board into Universalis members*.
- Ad hoc motion voting approved.
- Jan Litjens: Proposes to change the wording to 30 days
 - Jan Steinke: In financial matters, a month simply means from for example the 15th to the 15th.
- Lotte Razenberg: How do you let Universalis members know?
 - Cas van der Hoven: We send an email to all Universalis members
 - Sarah Sayed: There were rumors about the incident, should let people know to kill the rumor. Rumors were worse than actual happening.
- Cas van der Hoven: Give them one week, then invoice, one week to pay then 5%, give them another month and then let all members know.
- Jan Litjens: Isn't this a privacy issue?
 - Cas van der Hoven: No the books are already public

- Jan Steinke: It is our money that is not being returned
 - The motion is approved.
-

MOTION SEVEN: BOARD BONDING ACTIVITIES

- The motion reads:
 - *For board bonding activities, the contribution made by UCMSA Universalis can be of a maximum of €50 per Board member per semester, regardless of the number of activities this is used for;*
 - Heidrun Kerl: does this also apply for the Academic Council?
 - Jan Meijer: No, only for board
 - Heidrun Kerl: Can I add Academic Council?
 - Jan Meijer: Actually Academic Council can do whatever they want but yes we will add this.
 - Leanne Heuberger: Is this only for weekends or also workshops?
 - Jan Meijer: Simply per semester, doesn't matter what you spend it on
 - Cas van der Hoven: For academic council, the amount should be different because of the difference in budget
 - Heidrun Kerl: Why?
 - Ad hoc to include Academic Council not approved.
 - Jan Steinke: Last time Academic Council had to make budget proposal, if they include bonding activities in that, then this is already approved by GA
 - Julien Beuken: There was no maximum until now, this simply acts as a guideline.
 - The motion is approved.
-

MOTION EIGHT: APPOINTMENT AND TERM OF INDEPENDENT BODY MEMBERS

- The motion reads:
 - *Article 5.5 in General Assembly Policy Manual revisions of May, 2013*
 - *“The Chair of the Independent Body proposes candidates for the next semester publicly at least two weeks in advance of the budget General Assembly. People willing to be candidate can apply by contacting the Chair of the Independent Body.”*
 - *Proposed amendment of Article 5.5:*
 - *“The Chair of the Independent Body proposes candidates for the next semester publicly at least two weeks in advance of the last General Assembly of the semester. People willing to be candidate can apply by contacting the Chair of the Independent Body.”*

- Jan Meijer: It is already a good motion, we are just changing the General Assembly this has to happen in
 - Menno Reijven: Can only people in the GA still apply for the IB?
 - o Jan Meijer: No, can also apply when you are abroad.
 - Jan Litjens: Could you be installed while being absent?
 - o Julien Beuken: As long as the GA approves
 - Motion approved
-

MOTION NINE: PUBLICATION OF OFFICIAL DOCUMENTS

- The motion reads:
 - o *On the official Universalis website, must at all times be published, insofar these documents are still available:*
 - o *the most recent version of the Statutes;*
 - o *the most recent version of the Policy Manual;*
 - o *all Minutes of General Assemblies;*
 - o *all Semester or Annual Reports presented by the Board to the General Assembly;*
 - o *all Financial Reports presented by the Treasurer to the General Assembly;*
 - o *all reports presented by the Independent Body to the General Assembly*
- Jan Meijer: This simply has to do with the transparency of board and workings
- Esmee Schoutens: does this apply to the current board?
 - o Jan Meijer: Starts next semester if implemented.
- Menno Reijven: An update of the official documents would be sufficient?
 - o Jan Meijer: Yes. There should also be an annex with financial guidelines
- Menno Reijven: are these motions going to be implemented in an official document?
 - o Julien Beuken: Yes, motions are to be collected and there should be an overview of all motions.
- Menno Reijven: Are there any motions that are not directly changing the policy manual, guidelines, etc.?
 - o Jan Meijer: Yes, for example motion to force board to publish its reports is not in policy manual but still should be in overview
- Menno Reijven: We should summarize... what if we end up with thousands of motions?
 - o Jan Meijer: Board can decide themselves how to present this
- Jan Litjens: Is the Academic Council budget proposal not in the policy manual? The Academic Council should still present a Fall 2013 budget
 - o Leanne Heuberger: That would at this point be a realization

- Jan Litjens: But as a formality, this should still be done
 - Heidrun Kerl: But we have €500
 - Cas van der Hoven & Jan Meijer: But this is conditional
 - Leanne Heuberger: All their expenses are in my realization
 - Jan Litjens proposes an ad hoc to change all to only last two years.
 - Esmee Schoutens: I don't agree
 - Olof van der Werf: We can simply have a folder with archive and folder with recent
 - The GA Votes on whether to discuss Jan Litjen's ad hoc motion of changing to last 2 years.
 - No one wants to discuss
 - Motion is approved with a majority
-

MOTION TEN: SEMESTER REPORTS

- The motion reads:
 - *Article 3.6.8 in Policy Manual*
 - *"The Board shall present both the annual report and the annual financial report at the first GA of the Fall semester. If the relevant Board members for this report are not available to present these reports, then their successors will be charged with presenting this reports. In this case, it is the duty of the outgoing Board members to fully brief their successors on these reports during the Transition Period. Absence is only permissible under exceptional circumstances."*
 - *Proposed amendment of Article 3.6.8:*
 - *"The Board shall present both the Semester Report and the Financial Report at the first GA of the following semester. If the relevant Board members for this report are not available to present these reports, then their successors will be charged with presenting this reports. In this case, it is the duty of the outgoing Board members to fully brief their successors on these reports during the Transition Period. Absence is only permissible under exceptional circumstances."*
 - Motion approved by a clear majority
-

MOTION ELEVEN: DOCUMENTATION OF MOTIONS

- The motion reads:
 - *The minutes of the General Assembly shall always have an appendix containing the approved texts of the proposed motions;*
 - *The Board shall administer a comprehensive collection of all approved motions in chronological order which is publicly available and accessible*

for all Universalis members

- Jan Litjens: This should also be on the website
 - o Julien Beuken: Should be in the appendix to the minutes that are already published online.
 - Lorenzo Andreoli: Want to discuss this?
 - Three people want to discuss whether to publish these motions online, not a majority.
 - Motion approved with majority
-

MOTION TWELVE: FINANCIAL GUIDELINES

- The motion reads:
 - o *A document named `Financial Guidelines` shall be created by the Board;*
 - o *This document shall include all motions approved by the General Assembly regarding the freedoms and the limitations thereof of the Treasurer in their original form;*
 - o *The Board has the freedom to decide on the structure of the document, insofar the intended purpose is honored;*
 - o *The Board will be made responsible in keeping this document up to date;*
 - o *This document will be added to the Policy Manual under `Annex B`;*
 - Motion approved with majority
-

MOTION THIRTEEN: POLICY MANUAL UPDATES

- The motion reads:
 - o *The Board shall update the Policy Manual within two weeks after every General Assembly at which motions were approved by the General Assembly;*
 - o *The updated Policy Manual shall replace all official versions of the Policy Manual in circulation, most notably the online version;*
 - o *The first UCMSA Universalis newsletter after updating the Policy Manual shall notify all members of the Association that the Policy Manual is updated and where they can find the most recent version;*
 - Jan Meijer: The current policy manual is not up-to-date
 - o Jan Litjens: Has already been approved though?
 - o Jan Meijer: Yes is still binding
 - Motion is approved
-

MOTION FOURTEEN: RESPONSIBILITY OF THE TREASURER

- The motion reads:
 - o *In the case that the Treasurer does not approve an expense, but the majority of the Board does, the expense can proceed;*
 - o *In this case, the form should explicitly mention the dissenting opinion of the Treasurer;*
 - o *In this case, the form should explicitly contain the signatures and full names of the Board members that do support the expense;*
 - o *In this case, the Treasurer is not responsible for the expense;*
 - o *In this case, the Board members that signed for the expense adopt the responsibility for the expense;*
 - Cas van der Hoven: This was actually a point from last IB, who decided the next IB should decide.
 - Jan Litjens: Why postponed?
 - o Cas van der Hoven: I was not present at InterUC and they went to MacDonalds. I did not agree with this decision.
 - Mircea van der Plas: So does this mean the treasurer can veto?
 - o Everyone: No other way around, board can veto
 - Jan Litjens: Is this legal?
 - o Cas van der Hoven: Yes it is legal
-

QUESTION ROUND

- Lorenzo Andreoli suggests to change motion 1 to: *Within Maastricht it is €1, always go to Brusselsepoort 2.7Km. No one will drive for €0.80.*
 - Cas van der Hoven: This is not allowed; cannot use it as an incentive
 - Lorenzo Andreoli: Have to think about practicality, no one will help us otherwise.
 - o Sarah Sayed: But the only reason for this would be to attract people to drive – essentially paying someone to drive. We are all here on a voluntary basis.
 - Heidrun Kerl: Also there is a risk of me driving (insurance).
 - Alice Paris: Universalis can't take liability
 - Voting on ad hoc, not approved
 - Lorenzo Andreoli proposes another ad hoc: €0,40 first 10 Km, then €0,19
 - No one is interested in voting on this.
-

Esmee Schoutens thanks everyone very much for attending, apologizes that it took so long and closes the General Assembly.

